

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully thanks the Examiner for withdrawing objections to the specification and claims. Applicant further respectfully thanks the Examiner for withdrawing previous rejection of Claims 1-19 under 35 USC 103(a).

Claims 1-19 are pending in the present case. Claims 1, 7, 11 and 15 are amended herein. Applicants respectfully request continuing examination in view of the above amendments to the present application and the arguments set forth below. No new matter is added herein.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over US Patent No. 5,893,916 to Dooley (hereinafter Dooley) further in view of Red Hat Linux by Husain, et al (Hereinafter Husain). Applicant respectfully thanks the Examiner for providing Husain, pp. 90 and 91. Applicant has reviewed the references and respectfully asserts that Claims 1-19, as amended herein, are patentable over Dooley in view of Husain for the following rationale.

As Applicant understands the reference, Dooley teaches a method of converting text-based UNIX man pages to formatted help topic files of the type including non-textual formatting codes. Dooley, c. 1, ll. 56-60. As Applicant understands the term, a man page is a manual page of documentation relating to the UNIX system in an online manual. Freedman, A., Computer Desktop Encyclopedia 9th, Osborne/McGraw-Hill, 2001, 583. Files relating to help topics provide instruction regarding program use. Id. at 420. As Applicant understands the provided reference, Husain teaches briefly on using Linux manual (Man) pages, such as finding keywords therein.

The teachings of Dooley and Husain differ from the embodiments of the present invention recited in Claims 1-19. As amended herein, independent Claim 1 reads as follows, with underlining added for emphasis:

1. In a computer operating system using commands with command specifications in command definition files, a method for generating command documentation content, said method comprising:

a) examining a command definition file for a syntactic structure of a corresponding command, wherein said command definition file comprises a linkpoint, a keyword, and an argument;

b) extracting a documentation requirement from said syntactic structure;

c) extracting documentation options from said syntactic structure; and

d) combining said documentation requirement and said documentation options into a documentation tag construct; and

e) automatically generating a standard template for a documentation content file, wherein said document content file comprises a natural language explanation of said keyword and said argument, wherein said document content file provides a standard framework with automatically generated content and wherein said automatically generated content is end-user over-writable with one or more of documentation content and data of relevance and localized to said end-user..

Independent Claims 7, 11, and 15 are amended herein in a fashion similar to that shown for Claim 1, above. Claims 2-6, 8-10, 12-14, and 16-19 respectively depend on Claims 1, 7, 11, and 15, thus incorporating each of the elements thereof.

Claims 1, 7, 11 and 15 recite a method for generating command documentation content that includes automatically generating a standard template for a documentation content file. The document content file comprises a natural language explanation of keyword and argument, which provides a standard framework with

automatically generated content. The automatically generated content is end-user over-writable with documentation content and/or data of relevance, which is localized to the end-user.

Automatically generating a standard template for a documentation content file that comprises a natural language explanation of keyword and argument is beneficial for providing typically relevant documentation for an end user in a user friendly format. The document content file promotes stability and provides a user friendly model framework with automatically generated content that can be relevance to typical users.

Importantly, as explained in the specification (e.g., at 6-7), the automatically generated content is end-user over-writable with documentation content, values, and/or data of relevance that are localized to the end-user. Advantageously, such end-user localization capability allows end-users to add to, translate, and/or modify the documentation content of their documentation content files. This has the benefit of allowing end-users to add documentation content of particular relevance, convenience, linguistic practicality, etc. that can be especially significant on a local basis. Thus, embodiments of the present invention allow an end-user to over-write automatically generated content that may be of less local significance with locally significant information, which then becomes conveniently accessible locally, to the end-user, etc.

Applicant finds no teaching or suggestion in Dooley directed towards automatically generating a standard template for a documentation content file, wherein the document content file comprises a natural language explanation of keyword and argument, wherein the document content file provides a standard

framework with automatically generated content, and wherein said automatically generated content is end-user over-writable with documentation content and/or data of relevance and localized to the end-user, as recited in Claims 1, 7, 11 and 15 herein.

In fact, as Applicant understands Dooley to teach "tools for porting documents between computer platforms" (Op. Cit. at c.1/ll. 5-8), Applicant respectfully asserts that the reference expressly teaches away from the embodiments recited in Claims 1, 7, 11 and 15 herein.

Applicant finds nothing in Husain that cures the defects of Dooley.

Applicant finds no teaching or suggestion in Husain directed towards automatically generating a standard template for a documentation content file, wherein the document content file comprises a natural language explanation of keyword and argument, wherein the document content file provides a standard framework with automatically generated content, and wherein said automatically generated content is end-user over-writable with documentation content and/or data of relevance and localized to the end-user, as recited in Claims 1, 7, 11 and 15 herein.

In fact, as Applicant understands Husain to teach in relation to using Linux Man pages, Applicant respectfully asserts that the reference expressly teaches away from the embodiments recited in Claims 1, 7, 11 and 15 herein.

Applicant finds nothing in Dooley that cures the defects of Husain.

Applicant finds no teaching or suggestion in Dooley or Husain, jointly or severally, which is directed towards the embodiments recited in Claims 1, 7, 11 and 15. Applicant also finds nothing in either reference that cures the defects in the other. Further, as discussed above, Dooly and Husain expressly teach away from the embodiments recited in Claims 1, 7, 11 and 15. Therefore, Applicant respectfully asserts that the embodiments recited in Claims 1, 7, 11 and 15 herein, and their respective dependent claims, are allowable over the cited references under 35 USC 103(a).

CONCLUSION

By the rationale stated above, Applicants respectfully assert that Claims 1-19 are allowable under 35 USC § 103(a). Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejections of Claims 1-19 be withdrawn and that Claims 1-19 be allowed.

Please charge our deposit account No. 23-0085, for any unpaid fees.

Respectfully submitted,

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO, LLP

Dated: April 21, 2005



Lawrence R. Goerke
Reg. No. 45,927

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO, LLP
Two North Market Street, Third Floor
San Jose, CA 95113

Tel.: (408) 938-9060
Fax: (408) 938-9069